11-24-08

PTO/SB/21 (01-08) Approved for use through 06/30/2008. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE e Paper Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number PADEMARI 10/701,146 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date Nov 4, 2003 **FORM** First Named Inventor Smith, Jr., Frank C. **Art Unit** 3644 (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Examiner Name** Tien Dinh Total Number of Pages in This Submission Attorney Docket Number 50121 **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance communication to TC Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board of Fee Attached Licensing-related Papers Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Amendment / Reply Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition to Convert to a After Final **Proprietary Information Provisional Application** Power of Attorney, Revocation Affidavits/declaration(s) Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please identify X **Extension of Time Request** Terminal Disclaimer below): **ROA mailed 10-21-08 Express Abandonment Request** Request for Refund w ottochnacti Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Remarks Reply to Missing Parts/ It is believed that no further request for extension of time or fees are due. Incomplete Application Notwithstanding, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees incurred or credit any overage to Deposit Account No.50-1753 (50121). Please Reply to Missing Parts under regard this as a further request for extension of time to the extent one is needed. 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 (Customer Account Number 22929). SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Shapertier LLP Signature Printed name Sue Z. Shaper Date November 21, 2008 31663 Reg. No. **CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as Express Mail # EM 225839051 in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Signature Typed or printed name Date November 21, 2008

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

In you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pplicant(s): Smith, Jr.

Application No.: 10/701,146

Filed: 11/4/2003

Title: Cargo Oriented Aircraft

Title. Cargo Offented Afferan

Art Unit: 3644

Examiner:

Tien Dinh

Attorney Docket No.: 50121

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION Made Final Mailed 10-21-08

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action of October 21, 2008, please amend this application as follows:

In the claims:

As per the attached claim amendment sheet.

REMARKS

Request that finality of prior Action be removed

Applicant respectfully requests that the finality of the above referenced Action, mailed 10/21/2008, be removed as premature. Page 3 of the Action, third paragraph, contains a new ground of rejection, and is based upon a new reference. The new ground of rejection was not necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

Re Amendment to Claim 2 – Not Substantive

Dependent claim 2 had recited yaw control surfaces on the "wing." Claim 1 had referred, however, to a "larger lifting surface" rather than a "wing," (Paragraph 0010, last sentence, recites that: "the larger horizontal lifting surface is referred to as the wing.") Applicant amended "the wing" in claim 2, nonetheless, to "the larger lifting surface" for consistency of antecedent basis. Such was <u>not</u> a substantive matter. The amendment did <u>not</u> necessitate the new ground of rejection.

The New Ground of Rejection Relates to a Prior Omission of Any Basis for Rejection

Rather, the new ground of rejection, stated on page 3 third paragraph, rejects claim 2 over Rutan '800 in view of Rutan ATTT and further in view of Burnelli. Reference Burnelli is newly applied in regard to the limitation of yaw control surfaces on the larger lifting surface, the limitation of claim 2. The Examiner states that, in order to definitely show yaw control surfaces on the larger lifting surface, a citation to Burnelli 1,987,050 is necessary.